

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,017 | 02/10/2006 | Jacobus Hubertus Anna Selen | NL031000 | 2283 |
| 24737 PHILIPS INTE | 7590 10/07/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 3001 | | | HOLLWEG, THOMAS A | |
| BRIARCLIFF | MANOR, NY 10510 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2879 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/07/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/568.017 SELEN ET AL Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

| | Thomas A. Hollweg | 2879 | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | lailing or Transmission dated | | expiration of the |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 37 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to t | he final rejection |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 5). | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was , which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | he publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | tice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres- | entative capacity ur | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for see | king court review |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Telephone confirmation, by attorney Frank Keegan, sent. | on 9/28/08, that no reply to Offic | e Action of 3/19/0 | 8 has been |
| /NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2879 | | | |
| Politions to revive under 27 CER 1.127(a) or (b), or requests to withdre | u the helding of shandenment under 27 (| CER 1 191 abould be | promptly filed to |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)